



## Organization of PJM States, Inc. (OPSI)

President: **Hon. Greg R. White**, Commissioner, Michigan PSC  
Vice President: **Hon. Edward S. Finley, Jr.**, Chairman, North Carolina UC  
Secretary: **Hon. Mary W. Freeman**, Chairman, Tennessee RA  
Treasurer: **Hon. Lori Murphy Lee**, Commissioner, District of Columbia PSC

### Members:

*Delaware Public Service Commission • District of Columbia Public Service Commission • Illinois Commerce Commission  
Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission • Kentucky Public Service Commission • Maryland Public Service Commission  
Michigan Public Service Commission • New Jersey Board of Public Utilities • North Carolina Utilities Commission  
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio • Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission • Tennessee Regulatory Authority  
Virginia State Corporation Commission • Public Service Commission of West Virginia.*

---

### Resolution # OPSI-2012-1

**WHEREAS**, PJM Interconnection, LLC (“PJM”) has informed OPSI members of their intention to file in early 2012 changes its Open Access Transmission Tariff (“Tariff”) in compliance with FERC Order 1000, followed by a supplemental Tariff filing in late 2012 incorporating new “decision frameworks” for transmission planning; *and*

**WHEREAS**, PJM has presented to OPSI members the possibility to file a placeholder for a “States Agreement” decision framework in early 2012 and has circulated draft Tariff language for that placeholder; *and*

**WHEREAS**, PJM has explained to OPSI members that, despite the absence of Tariff language regarding the process or cost allocation methodology for the State Agreement decision framework, a placeholder in the Tariff filed in early 2012 may allow a state or group of states to incorporate transmission projects into the Regional Transmission Expansion Planning (“RTEP”) based on their public policy decisions; *and*

**WHEREAS**, some members of the OPSI Standing Staff Committee have determined that a cautious approach to using public policy transmission planning drivers is warranted and that further discussion of cost allocation and procedural details is necessary before introducing a placeholder for the State Agreement decision framework in the Tariff; *and*

**WHEREAS**, the inclusion of a fully and properly developed state agreement decision framework in PJM’s tariff might be beneficial and warrants additional study by OPSI and its members;

**NOW, therefore, be it resolved** that the OPSI Board of Directors recommends and requests:

1. That PJM not include a placeholder for the State Agreement decision framework in the Tariff language PJM intends to file in early 2012; *and*
2. That PJM allow the OPSI Board of Directors and the OPSI Standing Staff Committee, at the direction of the OPSI Board of Directors, sufficient time to consider whether the State Agreement decision framework is necessary and whether it should be adopted by PJM; *and*
3. That PJM and OPSI conduct a jointly-planned symposium on the State Agreement approach.

---

Approved by the Board of Directors of the Organization of PJM States, Inc. on January 5, 2012;  
(Illinois Commerce Commission abstained).